RUB

- **Trusted** Automatic Programming
- **Trusted** Automated Software Engineering
- Fuzzing Shifting **Left**

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

Testing and Repair – The path to pro-active software resilience

21.02.2025 – itestra GmbH

Prof. Dr. Yannic Noller
Software Quality group

Software Quality Research @ RUB

developing new repair techniques to aid developers in fixing program bugs

exploring and designing (hybrid) testing techniques to systematically generate test inputs that expose incorrect program behavior

how to help CS students learn programming by applying concepts from automated testing and repair to guide the students toward the right solution

automated analysis, testing, and repairing of machine learning models

studying developer needs and requirements for successful deployment of testing and repair techniques in development practice



https://informatik.rub.de/en/sq/

Do you trust software?

Failures because of Software Bugs

https://spectrum.ieee.org/aerospace/aviation/how-the-boeing-737-max-disaster-looks-to-a-software-developer

https://www.computerworld.com/article/3412197/top-software-failures-in-recent-history

“bug fixing is not easy and takes time”



Number of Disclosed Vulnerabilities
2022 Risk Based Security, Inc



Time to Fix by Risk Category
2021 NTT Application Security

Automated Testing and Repair

"Provide reliable, trustworthy, and secure software systems."



Contributing to the **portfolio** of **foundations**, **methods**, **techniques**, and **open-source tools** to accomplish this goal.

Pro-active Software Resilience



What is a Side-Channel Vulnerability?

Potential Side-Channel Leakages

By David B. Gleason from Chicago, IL - The Pentagon, CC BY-SA 2.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=4891272

Side-Channel Analysis

- ❑ **leakage** of **secret data**
- ❑ **software** side-channels
- ❑ **observables**:
  - execution time
  - memory consumption
  - response size
  - network traffic
  - …

```
0  boolean pwcheck_unsafe (byte[] pub, byte[] sec) {
1      if (pub.length != sec.length) {
2          return false;
3      }
4      for (int i = 0; i < pub.length; i++) {
5          if (pub[i] != sec[i]) {
6              return false;
7          }
8      }
9      return true;
10 }
```

conditional early return causes leakage

Where do we find them?

- ❑ application code, e.g., *Apache Tomcat, FtpServer, …*
- ❑ security libraries, e.g., *JDK, spring security, Bouncy Castle, …*

Differential Software Testing

➥ identify behavioral differences



Differential Software Testing

➥ identify behavioral differences

- for the **same** program with **two different** inputs
  ➥ security, reliability
- for example,
  - Worst-Case Complexity Analysis
  - Side-Channel Analysis
  - Robustness Analysis of Neural Network



2

Path to Side-Channel Repair



Path to Side-Channel Repair





2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)

DIFFUZZ: Differential Fuzzing for Side-Channel Analysis

Shirin Nilizadeh*
University of Texas at Arlington
Arlington, TX, USA
shirin.nilizadeh@uta.edu

Yannic Noller*
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Berlin, Germany
yannic.noller@hu-berlin.de

Corina S. Păsăreanu
Carnegie Mellon University Silicon Valley,
NASA Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA, USA

***Abstract*—Side-channel attacks allow an adversary to uncover secret program data by observing the behavior of a program with respect to a resource, such as execution time, consumed memory or response size. Side-channel vulnerabilities are difficult to reason about as they involve analyzing the correlations between resource usage over multiple program paths. We present DIFFUZZ, a fuzzing-based approach for detecting side-channel vulnerabilities related to time and space. DIFFUZZ automatically detects these vulnerabilities by analyzing two versions of the program and using resource-guided heuristics to find inputs that *maximize* the difference in resource consumption between secret-dependent paths. The methodology of DIFFUZZ is general and can be applied to programs written in any language. For this paper, we present an implementation that targets analysis of JAVA programs, and uses and extends the KELINCI and AFL fuzzers. We evaluate DIFFUZZ on a large number of JAVA programs and demonstrate that it can reveal unknown side-channel vulnerabilities in popular applications. We also show that DIFFUZZ compares favorably against BLAZER and THEMIS, two state-of-the-art analysis tools for finding side-channels in JAVA**

Given a program whose inputs are partitioned into public and secret variables, DIFFUZZ uses a form of differential fuzzing to automatically find program inputs that reveal side channels related to a specified resource, such as time, consumed memory, or response size. We focus specifically on timing and space related vulnerabilities, but the approach can be adapted to other types of side channels, including cache based.

Differential fuzzing has been successfully applied before for finding bugs and vulnerabilities in a variety of applications, such as LF and XZ parsers, PDF viewers, SSL/TLS libraries, and C compilers [36], [38], [41]. However, to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to explore differential fuzzing for side-channel analysis. Typically such fuzzing techniques analyze different versions of a program, attempting to discover bugs by observing differences in execution for the same inputs. In contrast DIFFUZZ works by analyzing two copies of the same program, with the same public inputs but with

- uses **differential fuzzing** to automatically find side-channel vulnerabilities
- outperforms static analysis techniques
- applies on **system level**
- cannot tell how severe a vulnerability might be
- published at **ICSE**‘2019

S. Nilizadeh, Y. Noller and C. S. Pasareanu, "DifFuzz: Differential Fuzzing for Side-Channel Analysis”, ICSE’2019, https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE.2019.00034

Background – Fuzzing

Greybox Fuzzing



Side-Channel Analysis (continued)

- *secure* if the secret data can not be inferred by an attacker through their observations of the system (aka *non-interference*)
- can be solved by self-composition [Barthe2004]

program execution	$P[pub, sec_1]$
cost observation	$c(P[pub, sec_1])$
two secret values	$c(P[pub, sec_1])$ $c(P[pub, sec_2])$
equivalence	$c(P[pub, sec_1]) = c(P[pub, sec_2])$

$$\forall\, pub, sec_1, sec_2 \colon\ c(P[pub, sec_1]) = c(P[pub, sec_2])$$

Barthe, G., D'Argenio, P. R., & Rezk, T. "Secure information flow by self-composition", IEEE Computer Security Foundations Workshop, 2004.

Fuzzing for Side-Channels (DifFuzz, ICSE'19)

- **key aspect**: search for path, for which side-channel observation differs because of **secret** values



maximize $\delta = |c(P[pub, sec_1]) - c(P[pub, sec_2])|$

pub, sec_1, sec_2

Example Results

```
0  boolean pwcheck_unsafe (byte[] pub, byte[] sec) {
1      if (pub.length != sec.length) {
2          return false;
3      }
4      for (int i = 0; i < pub.length; i++) {
5          if (pub[i] != sec[i]) {
6              return false;
7          }
8      }
9      return true;
10 }
```

Initial Input: $cost_{Diff} = 0$

```
secret1 = [72, 101, 108, 108, 111,  32,  67]
secret2 = [97, 114, 110, 101, 103, 105, 101]
public  = [32,  77, 101, 108, 108, 111, 110]
```

$cost_{Diff} > 0$ after ~ 5 sec

Input with highscore $cost_{Diff} = 47$ after ~ 69 sec
(maximum length = 16 bytes):

```
secret1 = [72, 77, -16, -66, -48, -48, -48, -48, -28, 0, 100, 0, 0, 0, 0, -48]
secret2 = [-48, -4, -48, 7, 17, 0, -24, -48, -48, 16, -48, -3, 108, 72, 32, 0]
public  = [-48, -4, -48, 7, 17, 0, -24, -48, -48, 16, -48, -3, 108, 72, 32, 0]
```

Is there a vulnerability?

$\Leftrightarrow$

How much information can be leaked?

Path to Side-Channel Repair





QFuzz: Quantitative Fuzzing for Side Channels

Yannic Noller
yannic.noller@acm.org
National University of Singapore
Singapore

Saeid Tizpaz-Niari
saeid@utep.edu
University of Texas at El Paso
USA

ABSTRACT

Side channels pose a significant threat to the confidentiality of software systems. Such vulnerabilities are challenging to detect and evaluate because they arise from non-functional properties of software such as execution times and require reasoning on multiple execution traces. Recently, *noninterference* notions have been adapted in static analysis, symbolic execution, and greybox fuzzing techniques. However, noninterference is a strict notion and may reject security even if the strength of information leaks are weak. A quantitative notion of security allows for the relaxation of noninterference and tolerates small (unavoidable) leaks. Despite progress in recent years, the existing quantitative approaches have scalability limitations in practice.

In this work, we present QFuzz, a greybox fuzzing technique to quantitatively evaluate the strength of side channels with a focus on *min entropy*. Min entropy is a measure based on the number of distinguishable observations (partitions) to assess the resulting threat from an attacker who tries to compromise secrets in one try. We develop a novel greybox fuzzing equipped with two partitioning algorithms that try to maximize the number of distinguishable observations and the cost differences between them.

We evaluate QFuzz on a large set of benchmarks from existing work and real-world libraries (with a total of 70 subjects). QFuzz

KEYWORDS

vulnerability detection, side-channel analysis, quantification, dynamic analysis, fuzzing

ACM Reference Format:

Yannic Noller and Saeid Tizpaz-Niari. 2021. QFuzz: Quantitative Fuzzing for Side Channels. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis (ISSTA '21), July 11–17, 2021, Virtual, Denmark.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 13 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3460319.3464817

1 INTRODUCTION

Side-channel (SC) vulnerabilities allow attackers to compromise secret information by observing runtime behaviors such as response time, cache hit/miss, memory consumption, network packet, and power usage. Software developers are careful to prevent malicious eavesdroppers from accessing secrets using techniques such as encryption. However, these techniques often fail to guarantee security in the presence of side channels since they arise from non-functional behaviors and require simultaneous reasoning over multiple runs.

Side-channel attacks remain a challenging problem even in security-critical applications. There are known practical side-channel attacks against the RSA algorithm [7], an online health system [10], the Google's Keyczar Library [24], and the Xbox 360 [37]. In the

- uses **greybox fuzzing** to quantitatively evaluate the **strength** of side channels
- focuses on min entropy
- explores two **partitioning** algorithms that try to **maximize** the number of **distinguishable** observations
- cannot localize the vulnerability
- published at **ISSTA**'2021

Yannic Noller and Saeid Tizpaz-Niari, "QFuzz: quantitative fuzzing for side channels", ISSTA 2021
https://doi.org/10.1145/3460319.3464817

Timing SC Vulnerability: An Example



Timing SC Vulnerability: Quantification



Quantification (QFuzz, ISSTA'19)

1 **How to identify such inputs?**



2 **How to characterize observation classes?**

Partioning Algorithm	$c(s_1, y)$ $c(s_2, y)$	→ p_1
KDynamic & Greedy	$c(s_3, y)$ $c(s_4, y)$	→ p_2

QFuzz: Workflow



$$max_{s_1,\ldots,s_K,y} \underbrace{|Part_\varepsilon(c(s_1,y),\ldots,c(s_K,y))|}_{\text{Maximize number of partitions}} + \underbrace{(1 - e^{-0.1 * \delta})}_{\text{Maximize the difference between the partitions}}$$

Example (K=100, ε=1, length=16, count=bytecode-instruction)

K=17
δ=3

stringEquals (Original Jetty, v1)

```
boolean stringEquals(String s1, String s2) {
  if (s1 == s2)
    return true;
  if (s1 == null || s2 == null ||
      s1.length() != s2.length())
    return false;
  for (int i = 0; i < s1.length(); ++i)
    if (s1.charAt(i) != s2.charAt(i))
      return false;
  return true;
}
```

stringEquals (Current Jetty, v4)

```
boolean stringEquals(String s1, String s2) {
  if (s1 == s2) return true;
  if (s1 == null || s2 == null)
    return false;
  boolean result = true;
  int l1 = s1.length();
  int l2 = s2.length();
  for (int i = 0; i < l2; ++i)
    result &= s1.charAt(i%l1) == s2.charAt(i));
  return result && l1 == l2;
}
```

K=9
δ =1

K=1

stringEquals (Safe Jetty, v5)

```
boolean stringEquals(String s1, String s2) {
  if (s1 == s2) return true;
  if (s1 == null || s2 == null)
    return false;
  int l1 = s1.length();
  int l2 = s2.length();
  if(l2 == 0){return l1 == 0}
  int result |= l1 - l2;
  for (int i = 0; i < l2; ++i){
    int r = ((i - l1) >>> 31) * i;
    result |= s1.charAt(r) ^ s2.charAt(i);
  }
  return result == 0;
}
```

Equals (Unsafe Spring-Security)

```
boolean Equals(String s1, String s2) {
  if (s1 == null || s2 == null)
    return false;
  byte[] s1B = s1.getBytes("UTF-8");
  byte[] s2B = s2.getBytes("UTF-8");
  int len1 = s1B.length;
  int len2 = s2B.length;
  if (len1 != len2)
    return false;
  int result = 0;
  for (int i = 0; i < len2; i++)
    result |= s1B[i] ^ s2B[i];
  return result == 0;
}
```

K=2
δ =149

DifFuzz

only leaks existence of special character

How much information can be leaked?

$\Leftrightarrow$

How can we fix the issue?

Path to Side-Channel Repair





Timing Side-Channel Mitigation via Automated Program Repair

HAIFENG RUAN, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore
YANNIC NOLLER, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany
SAEID TIZPAZ-NIARI, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX, USA
SUDIPTA CHATTOPADHYAY, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore, Singapore
ABHIK ROYCHOUDHURY, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Side-channel vulnerability detection has gained prominence recently due to Spectre and Meltdown attacks. Techniques for side-channel detection range from fuzz testing to program analysis and program composition. Existing side-channel mitigation techniques repair the vulnerability at the IR/binary level or use runtime monitoring solutions. In both cases, the source code itself is not modified, can evolve while keeping the vulnerability, and the developer would get no feedback on how to develop secure applications in the first place. Thus, these solutions do not help the developer understand the side-channel risks in her code and do not provide guidance to avoid code patterns with side-channel risks. In this article, we present PENDULUM, the first approach for automatically locating and repairing side-channel vulnerabilities in the source code, specifically for timing side channels. Our approach uses a quantitative estimation of found vulnerabilities to guide the fix localization, which goes hand-in-hand with a pattern-guided repair. Our evaluation shows that PENDULUM can repair a large number of side-channel vulnerabilities in real-world applications. Overall, our approach integrates

- uses collected **observations** from QFuzz to **localize** the vulnerability
- applies **(safe) operators** to transform the source code
- can introduce side-effects

- published in TOSEM 2024

Haifeng Ruan, Yannic Noller, Saeid Tizpaz-Niari, Sudipta Chattopadhyay, and Abhik Roychoudhury. “Timing Side-Channel Mitigation via Automated Program Repair”, TOSEM 2024. https://doi.org/10.1145/3678169

SC Repair Workflow (Pendulum, TOSEM‘24)



Fix Localization (Basic Block)

Compare traces to find where they diverge

$P(y,s_1)$: a b c b c d f g EXIT
$P(y,\mathbf{s_2})$: a e f g EXIT



Fix Localization (Basic Block)

Compare traces to find where they diverge



Fix Localization (Source Code)

Map conditional branches to source code



Map

Debug Info

Source Code

1. **If Statement**
2. **Loop Statements**
   for, while, do...while
3. **Unsafe Operators**
   !, >, <, >=, <=, ==, !=, &&, ||, ?:

Automated Program Repair



State-of-the-art in Program Repair: Pictorial view derived from Communications of the ACM article 2019.

https://nus-apr.github.io/

Path to Side-Channel Repair



Other things we work on

- Trusted Automatic Programming
  - APR in the era of Large Language Models (LLM)
  - Agentic Workflows for APR
  - Repair of Machine Learning models
- Human Studies in SE
  - Developer surveys: Fuzzing + APR
- Intelligent Tutoring Systems
  - Simulated Interactive Debugging



The path to pro-active software resilience



Side-Channel Analysis (continued)

- *secure* if the secret data can not be inferred by an attacker through their observations of the system (aka *non-interference*)
- can be solved by self-composition [Barthe2004]

program execution	$P[pub, sec_1]$
cost observation	$c(P[pub, sec_1])$
two secret values	$c(P[pub, sec_1]) \quad c(P[pub, sec_2])$
equivalence	$c(P[pub, sec_1]) = c(P[pub, sec_2])$

$$\forall\, pub, sec_1, sec_2 \colon c(P[pub, sec_1]) = c(P[pub, sec_2])$$



- **Trusted** Automatic Programming
- **Trusted** Automated Software Engineering
- Fuzzing Shifting **Left**



Prof. Dr. **Yannic Noller**
yannic.noller@rub.de
https://yannicnoller.github.io/

RUHR UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM
RUB